



# Transport Activists' Roundtable North West

## The North West TAR CORE GROUP contact details

### Convenor:

#### LILLIAN BURNS

Director, TravelWatch/ CPRE/  
VSNW rep. on the Regional  
Transport & Planning Groups  
25 Heybridge Lane,  
Prestbury Cheshire SK10 4ES  
Tel: 01625 829492  
Fax: 01625 828015  
E-mail: BrLlIn@aol.com

### Members:

#### PETER COLLEY

Federation of Cumbrian  
Amenity Societies / FOLD  
12 Rawes Garth  
Staveley Cumbria LA8 9QH  
Tel: 01539 821629  
E-mail: colleygarth@  
btopenworld.com

#### JANET CUFF

Ramblers Association/CPRE  
33 Tatton Road North  
Stockport SK4 4QX  
Tel: 0161 431 7654  
E-mail:  
Janet.Cuff@talktalk.net

#### ADRIAN DUNNING

NW Assoc. of Civic Trusts  
11 Crombouke Fold, Worsley  
Manchester M28 1ZE  
Tel: 0161 790 9507  
or 07768 296003  
E-mail: ajdunning@gmail.com

#### SANDRA DUTSON

NW TAR treasurer/Road Peace  
18 Trafalgar Road  
Eccles Salford M6 8JD  
Tel: 0161 707 3546  
E-mail: Sandra@  
smdutson@btinternet.com

#### FRANK KENNEDY

Friends of the Earth  
60 Duke St Liverpool L1 5AA  
Tel: 0151 707 4328  
E-mail: frankk@foe.co.uk

#### MELANIE JEFFS

Development Officer,  
Greater Manchester  
Transport Resource Unit  
GMCVO, St. Thomas Centre  
Ardwick Green North  
Manchester M12 6FZ  
Tel: 0161 277 1000  
Fax: 0161 273 8296  
E-mail: melanie.jeffs@  
gmcvo.org.uk

Our postal address is  
c/o Gtr Manchester  
Transport Resource Unit  
St. Thomas Centre  
Ardwick Green North  
Manchester M12 6FZ  
Website: [www.nwtar.org.uk](http://www.nwtar.org.uk)

Sharon Adhikari,  
Local Transport Bill (Bus Partnership) Consultation,  
Zone 3/11, Department for Transport,  
Great Minster House, 76 Marsham Street,  
London, SW1P 4DR.

Monday, October 13<sup>th</sup>, 2008

Dear Sharon Adhikari,

**Local Transport Bill Consultation: Bus partnership guidance/regulations**

**The North West Transport Roundtable (NW TAR) welcome any increase in the control that Local Transport Authorities (LTAs) will have over services, in particular the expansion of their powers to include minimum service frequencies, maximum fares and timetable specifications in the establishment of Quality Partnership Schemes (QPSs). These changes are to be welcomed as greater influence by the LTAs over services will be to the benefit of passengers. Reform is badly needed, given the continuing decline in bus use outside London.**

**Although, as stated above, we welcome the power to set maximum fares for individual bus rides, we are concerned that the question of whole journeys has not been addressed. Many journeys in a large conurbation involve two or more bus rides but the cheaper weekly, monthly or annual ticketing arrangements often offered by operators are not usually interchangeable with other operators. So, for instance, a worker who has to travel across a conurbation to his place of employment may still find the cost of bus travel excessive. This question of ticketing may also affect frequency for the passenger using a route serviced by more than one operator. If he has bought, say, a weekly 'saver' ticket applicable to only one operator, he will have to wait until a bus comes along for which he has the appropriate ticket, resulting in a wait at the bus stop and a slow journey.**

**We are also concerned that improvements on one route as part of a Voluntary Partnership Agreement (VPA) or QPS may result in deteriorations in services on others. There must be a means of ensuring an operator involved in a VPA or QPS on one route does not remove vehicles and drivers from another, substituting more polluting and less accessible buses on the non-VPA and/or non-QPS routes.**

**A further concern we have is the provision of adequate resources. LTAs will need extra resources to negotiate these schemes; it is unreasonable to expect them to meet these costs from their already overstretched budgets. Then there are the Traffic Commissioners who are already under-resourced, but who will be involved with various procedures connected with setting up the schemes, eg. dealing with "admissible objections", registration restrictions and enforcement. And, in respect of "admissible objections", there is mention in Annex D of the possible need for an assessor or person selected from a panel appointed by the Secretary of State and it is stated that if the Traffic Commissioner seeks such assistance, the Traffic Commissioner "must pay that person such remuneration as may be determined by the Secretary of State". It is our opinion that this payment must come from Government funds. We can envisage, if funding is inadequate to cover all the procedures involved in setting up these schemes, the whole process grinding to a halt. We trust these comments are of value.**  
Yours sincerely,

**JANET CUFF & SANDRA DUTSON, Members of the NW TAR Core Group.**